Pupil premium strategy statement

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

This statement outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding (and recovery premium) this academic year 2022 to 2023 and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

Detail	Data
School name	Borough Green Primary School
Number of pupils in school	264
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	22%
Academic years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers	2021-2022 through to 2023-2024
Date this statement was published	December 2021 and Updated December 2022
Date on which it will be reviewed	July 2023
Statement authorised by	Head Teacher Karen Sandberg
Pupil premium lead	Inclusion Manager Nicola Pilfold
Governor / lead	Alison Chugg Richard Pearce

Funding overview

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£80,210.00
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£11,696.63
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£15,633
Total budget for this academic year If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	£107,539.63

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

At Borough Green Primary School, our intention is that all pupils, irrespective of their background or the challenges they face, make good progress and achieve high attainment across all subject areas. The focus of our pupil premium strategy is to support disadvantaged pupils to achieve that goal, including progress for those who are already high-attainers. We will also consider the challenges faced by other vulnerable pupils, such as those who have a social worker or those that are young carers and the activity we have outlined in this statement is also intended to support their needs, regardless of whether they are disadvantaged or not.

High-quality teaching is at the heart of our approach, with a focus on areas in which disadvantaged pupils require the most support. This is proven to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage attainment gap and at the same time will benefit the non-disadvantaged pupils in our school. Implicit in the intended outcomes detailed below, is the intention that disadvantaged pupils' attainment will be sustained and improved alongside progress for their non-disadvantaged peers.

Our strategy is also integral to wider school plans for education recovery, notably in its targeted support through interventions such as the extra tutoring for pupils whose education has been worst affected or speech and language support from our buy-in NHS speech and language programme, including non-disadvantaged pupils. Our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individual needs, rooted in robust diagnostic assessment, not assumptions about the impact of disadvantage. The approaches we have adopted complement each other to help pupils excel. To ensure they are effective we will:

- ensure disadvantaged pupils are challenged in the work that they're set and make good or improved progress in reading, writing, SPAG and maths
- act early to intervene at the point need is identified
- adopt a whole school approach in which all staff take responsibility for disadvantaged pupils' outcomes and that opportunities are given for all disadvantaged pupils to reach age-related expectations
- to support social, emotional development through self-regulation and metacognitive strategies.

- to enrich the experiences of all pupils through a curriculum that delivers a wide range of learning opportunities
- to adopt a rigorous approach to improving attendance where attendance is a barrier

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge
1	Our assessments (including wellbeing survey), observations and discussions with pupils and families have identified social and emotional issues for many pupils during school closures. These challenges particularly affect disadvantaged pupils, including their attainment.
	School referrals to outside agencies for support have markedly increased during the pandemic. An increased number of pupils currently require additional support with social and emotional needs, with 7% (5% of whom are disadvantaged) receiving small group or individual interventions.
2	Our assessments and observations indicate that the education and wellbeing of many of our disadvantaged pupils have been

	impacted by partial school closures to a greater extent than for other pupils. These findings are supported by national studies.
	This has resulted in significant knowledge gaps leading to pupils falling behind age-related expectations, especially in writing.
3	The attendance of our children who attract pupil premium funding as a group is often lower than that of the whole school
4	Many of our children who attract pupil premium funding do not have the rich and varied experiences as children that do not attract pupil premium funding and consequently have a more limited understanding of the wider world or vocabulary acquisition, which in turn impacts on language, socialisation and academic achievement
5	Assessments, observations, and discussions with pupils suggest disadvantaged pupils generally have greater difficulties with phonics than their peers. This negatively impacts their development as readers.

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
To achieve and sustain improved wellbeing for all pupils in our school,	Sustained high levels of wellbeing from 2024/25 demonstrated by:
particularly our disadvantaged pupils.	 qualitative data from student voice, student and parent surveys and teacher observations
	a significant increase in participation in enrichment activities, particularly among disadvantaged pupils
	Year end 2021/22
	How are we doing?
	Student voice captured at the beginning and end of individual and small group in- terventions for social, emotional and mental health such as Drawing & Talking or Counselling showed that 83% of pupils voiced that the support had helped them.
	Teacher observations and Boxhall pro- files in the small group nurture setting that 80% of pupils accessing it felt more able to engage in activities in the class- room and fewer incidences of dysregula- tion in the classroom. More children now

	need to access this provision and plans are in place to increase numbers while maintaining a successful nurture environ- ment.
In KS2, to close the attainment gap between our children with PPF compared to children who do not attract PPF in reading	KS2 reading outcomes in 2023/24 show that consistently more than 60% of disadvantaged pupils met the expected standard.
	Year end 2021/22
	How are we doing?
	We are on track. A greater number of pupils (71%) that attract PPF reached the expected standard in reading in KS2 compared to 60% in 2020/21 and compared to the average in Kent Schools for 2021/22 (58%). In addition, 100% of pupils with SEND reached the expected standard in reading with 50%* reaching higher score. The gap between pupils with PPF as well as SEND and pupils without PPF but with SEND is not only closed but pupils with PPF have done significantly better, however although a higher number of pupils reached the expected standard, the gap between pupils who receive PPF and those that do not without SEND remains significant at 20%.It should be noted that reading scores at BGPS are above other schools for all pupils.
	* 2 pupils
In KS1, to close the attainment gap between our children with PPF compared to children who do not attract PPF in maths	KS1 maths outcomes in 2023/24 show that more than 60% of disadvantaged pupils met the expected standard.
	Year end 2021/22
	How are we doing?
	*60% of pupils with PPF reached the expected standard in KS1 which is a considerable increase on last year. * 10 pupils
In KS2 to close the progress gap between our children with PPF compared to children who do not attract PPF in SPAG	KS2 writing outcomes in 2023/4 show that a reduced gap of less than 10% of disadvantaged pupils meeting the

	expected standard compared with their peers that do not access PPF
	Year end 2021/22 <u>How are we doing?</u> 71% of pupils with PPF reached the expected standard in writing compared to 56% of pupils in other schools in Kent.and 69% of all pupils nationally. At Borough Green Primary School pupils who attract PPF are doing as well as pupils who do not in writing. 57% of pupils with PPF reached the expected standard in spelling, punctuation and grammar compared to 78% of all pupils. This is a concerning gap and can be partially explained by the significant number of pupils in this group with dyslexia.
Improved oral language skills and vocabulary among disadvantaged pupils.	Assessments and observations indicate significantly improved oral language among disadvantaged pupils. This is evident when triangulated with other sources of evidence, including engagement in lessons, book scrutiny and ongoing formative assessment.
	How are we doing? Year end 2021/22 There are 15 pupils that have identified speech and language difficulties by the NHS speech and language therapy service and 60% of these attract attract PPF. In addition to this there are an additional 8 pupils who have identified language and vocabulary difficulties identified by the school and 75% of the pupils attract PPF. Assessments and observations indicate that of the 15 pupils with PPF and speech and language difficulties, all of these children are working below expected standard in reading and writing. However, of these 15 pupils, 73% are making good progress against their individual targets
To achieve sustained improvement of attendance in our children with PPF	Sustained high attendance from 2023/24 demonstrated by:

the overall absence rate for all pupils being no more than 6%, and the attendance gap between disadvantaged pupils and their non-disadvantaged peers being reduced by 3%.
How are we doing? Data shows that attendance of pupils who attract PPF for year is 89.08% compared to 93.2% for pupils who do not attract PPF. The difference of 3.3% is a reduction and on track for the target of 3% by 2023/24, however attendance is a concern and increased efforts to increase attendance is in place.

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £50,000

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Further improve the quality of social and emotional (SEL) learning across the school e.g. an in- crease in targeted SEL interventions for recognising and reg- ulating emotions such as those identi- fied in the Boxhall Profile, understand- ing social situations and relationships such as Zones of Regulation, Cartoon Conversations, Emo- tional Literacy ap- proaches to nurture, Drawing and Talking Therapy and quality mentoring.	There is extensive evidence associating childhood social and emotional skills with improved outcomes at school and in later life (e.g., improved academic performance, attitudes, behaviour and relationships with peers): <u>EEF Social and Emotional Learning.pdf(edu</u> cationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	1, 2 and 3
SEL approaches will be embedded into routine educational practices and supported by professional development and training for staff.	<u>Play-based learning EEF</u> (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	
resources including adequate staffing for nurture programme and CPD for nurture		

based and Emotional Literacy practices.		
Embedding and de- veloping dialogic ac- tivities across the school curriculum such as principles of Jane Consandine's 'The Write Stuff, pre teach vocabulary and specific and tar- geted speech and language pro- grammes recom- mended by SALT. These can support pupils to articulate key ideas, consoli- date understanding and extend vocabu- lary.	There is a strong evidence base that suggests oral language interventions, including dialogic activities such as high-quality classroom dis- cussion, are inexpensive to implement with high impacts on reading: <u>Oral language interventions Toolkit Strand </u> <u>Education Endowment Foundation EEF</u>	2 and 4
We will purchase resources and fund ongoing teacher training and release time.		
Develop and embed DfE validated Sys- tematic Synthetic Phonics programme Little Wandle Letters and Sounds revised to secure stronger phonics teaching for all pupils.	Phonics approaches have a strong evidence base that indicates a positive impact on the accuracy of word reading (though not necessarily comprehension), particularly for disadvantaged pupils: <u>Phonics Toolkit Strand Education Endow- ment Foundation EEF</u>	2, 4 and 5
Embedding the recommended strategies concluded from standardised diagnostic assessments such as WRAT and further SALT diagnostic assessments.	Standardised tests can provide reliable insights into the specific strengths and weaknesses of each pupil to help ensure they receive the correct additional support through interventions or teacher instruction: <u>Standardised tests Assessing and Monitoring</u> <u>Pupil Progress Education Endowment</u> <u>Foundation EEF</u>	2, 4 and 5
Training for staff to ensure both quality		

first teaching strate- gies as well as inter- vention strategies are embedded in everyday teaching practice.		gies as well as inter- vention strategies are embedded in everyday teaching
---	--	--

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £30,000

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
To provide a blend of mentoring and school-led tutoring for pupils whose education has been most impacted by the pandemic. A significant proportion of the pupils who receive recovery tutoring will be disadvantaged, including those who are high attainers.	Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one: <u>One to one tuition EEF (educationendow- mentfoundation.org.uk)</u> And in small groups: <u>Small group tuition Toolkit Strand </u> <u>Education Endowment Foundation EEF</u>	2, 4 and 5
Robustly monitor assessment of communication and language approaches to improve listening, narrative and vocabulary skills for disadvantaged pupils who have relatively low spoken language skills especially in Early Years.	Oral language interventions can have a positive impact on pupils' language skills. Approaches that focus on speaking, listening and a combination of the two show positive impacts on attainment: <u>Oral language interventions EEF</u> (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	4

Development of NHS Speech and Language Buy-In Service to acquire professional	Oral language interventions can have a positive impact on pupils' language skills. Approaches that focus on speaking, listening and a combination of the two show positive impacts on attainment:	4
speech and language assessments, training and modelling of targeted speech and language activities.	<u>Oral language interventions EEF</u> (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £20,000

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Embedding principles of good practice set out in the DfE's <u>Improving School</u> <u>Attendance</u> advice.	The DfE guidance has been informed by engagement with schools that have significantly reduced levels of absence and persistent absence.	3
This will involve training and release time for staff to develop and implement new procedures, attend attendance meetings and develop systems to improve attendance.		
Whole staff training on behaviour management and anti-bullying approaches with the aim of developing our	Both targeted interventions and universal approaches can have positive overall effects: <u>Behaviour interventions EEF</u> (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	1 and 4

school ethos of ready, respectful and safe.		
Contingency fund for acute issues.	Based on our experiences and those of similar schools to ours, we have identified a need to set a small amount of funding aside to respond quickly to needs that have not yet been identified.	All.

Total budgeted cost: £ 100,000

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2021 to 2022 academic year.

2021/22 Results					
	PP NON SEND (Pupils eligible for PP pupils without special educational need or disability) Borough Green Primary School	NPP/NON SEND (Pupils not eligible for PP pupils without special educational need or disability) Borough Green Primary School	PP SEND (Pupils eligible for PP pupils with special educational need or disability Borough Green Primary School	PP - All (Pupils eligible for PP including pupils with special educational need or disability) Borough Green Primary School	NPP - All (Pupils not eligible for PP including pupils with Special educational need or disability) Borough Green Primary School
KS2 % making expected plus standard or above in Reading	60% (5)	88%(25)	100% (2) (50% GDS)	71% (7) (Other schools in Kent = 58%)	91%
KS2 % making expected plus standard or above in SPAG	60% (5)	88% (25)	50% (2)	57% (7)	79% (33)

				(Other schools in Kent = 51%)	
KS2 % making expected plus standard or above in Maths	* 80% (5)	84% (25)	50% (2)	*71% (7) (Other schools in Kent = 52%)	70% (33)
KS2 % making expected plus attainment in writing	80%	80%	50% (2)	71% (7) (Other schools in Kent = 57%)	73% (33)
KS1 % making expected plus attainment in math6	83% (6)		0% (1)	60% (10) (Other schools in Kent = 49%)	89% (34)
KS1 % making expected plus attainment in Reading	83% (6)		0% (1)	60%(10) (Other schools in Kent = 49%)	79%(34)
*Includes 1 child teacher assessed as did not sit government test					

Desired Outcome	Chosen Approach	Estimated Impact	Lessons Learned
To increase attainment in SPAG for pupils attracting PP funding in KS2	Increased focus on PP group in KS2 Spelling, punctuation and Grammar with systematic monitoring and evaluation of small group and individualised teaching and intervention. Continue to strengthen our teachers and TA skills in supporting mastery learning. Increased focus on assessment of high frequency words, common exception words and tricky words.	Attainment in SPAG for all pupils with PPF have significantly increased from 13% of pupils making expected standard in 2018/19 to 50% of pupils making expected standard in 2019/20 and 2020/21 despite school closures due to the pandemic. In 2021/22 this increased to 57% for all pupils compared with 51% in other schools in Kent. 50% of children with PPF and with identified SEN reached the expected standard in SPAG. Attainment in SPAG for pupils with PPF and that do not have special educational needs has increased from 34% in 2018/9 to 67% in 2019/20 to 80% in 2020/21 and 60% in 2021/22 14% of pupils with PPF reached greater depth in SPAG compared with 20% of all pupils. The gap between all pupils with PPF and those who do attract PPF has significantly reduced from 63% in 2018/9 to 27% in the last two academic years and 22% in 2021/22	Increase in training and understanding of different approaches to spelling, punctuation and grammar have helped to vary teaching approaches. To be continued next year and to include more targeted approaches for pupils with SEN. Increased cognitive assessments of pupils with PPF who have difficulties in literacy has resulted in an increase in the identification of dyslexia and other processing difficulties in KS2. To be continued next year. Observations of classroom strategies and book scrutiny by senior leaders has supported teaching. To be continued next year. Purchase of effective resources to support intervention in spellings such as ACE dictionaries, word games and ICT learning tools. A review of effective intervention strategies for pupils with SEN has occurred and new systems to be trialled. Regular assessment against targets with new targets set every 6 weeks has enabled any slow progress to be addressed quickly by teachers through intervention. To be continued next year. Effective interventions especially precision reaching, reviewed every three/six weeks by TAs and Inclusion Manager have ensured that interventions are timely, effective and appropriate. To be continued next year.

			 week has aided faster progression and the strategy to continue next year to include targeted approaches to include Spelling Teaching approaches which encourage learners to plan, monitor and evaluate their learning have supported SPAG effectively. To be continued next year. Phonics and dyslexia training and support for teaching assistants in- house training by Inclusion Manager has improved understanding and feedback. To be continued next year.
To further improve spelling and writing skills in KS1 for PP pupils so that the gap between pupils attracting PPF and those that do not is significant ly closed.	Increased focus on assessment of high frequency words, common exception words and tricky words. Increased use of Jane Constantine's The Write Stuff 'strategies to enhance writing skills. Continued use of writing strategies such as colourful semantics and clicker for children with SEN. Focus on handwriting style and fluency for pupils in KS1 Development of Little Wandle as	Pupils reaching the expected standard in writing and spelling who attract PPF is significantly lower (30%) than pupils that do not attract PPF (68%). Pupils reaching the expected standard who attract PPF and who do not have special educational needs is 43% compared with pupils who do not attract PPF and who do not have special educational needs at 95%. This is a significant gap and needs to be closed. Pupils reaching the expected standard who attract PPF and who also have special educational needs is in line with pupils who do not attract PPF and who have educational needs but is a concern. No pupils who attract PPF reached greater depth in writing and spelling compared to 6% of pupils who do not attract PPF.	There is a higher percentage of pupils with significant SEN in the 2021/2 group that attract PPF than those who do not attract PPF and therefore more than one barrier to learning. More emphasis on targeting pupils with SEN is required. There is a significantly higher percentage of pupils who attract PPF and who have or have had a social worker in the 2021/2 cohort compared with pupils who do not attract PPF. Increase in training and understanding of different approaches to spelling, punctuation and grammar have helped to vary teaching approaches. To be continued next year and to include more targeted approaches for pupils with SEN. Additional resources to support pupils with SEN. Regular assessment against targets with new targets set every 6 weeks has enabled any slow progress to be addressed quickly

	a systematic phonics approach to support spelling. Continue to strengthen our teachers and TA skills in supporting mastery learning. Continue to strengthen our children's skills in self-editing and improving spelling, punctu- ation and gram- mar skills. Increased use of Precision Teaching. TA training on Independent approaches and prompt levels.		by teachers through intervention. To be continued next year. Increased cognitive assessments of pupils with PPF who have difficulties in literacy has resulted in an increase in the identification of dyslexia and other processing difficulties in KS1. To be continued next year. Observations of classroom strategies and book scrutiny by senior leaders has supported teaching. To be continued next year.
Pupils show increased resilience, good mental health and well-being and conseque ntly improved engageme nt in learning.	To provide men- tal health and wellbeing sup- port that leads to significant im- provements in children's mental health, and so- cial and emo- tional skills Development of targeted nurture provision for those that strug- gle to meet well- being threshold for learning. Targeted coun- selling and ther- apy for pupils identified to re- quire support. To improve oral language inter- ventions.	 'Growth Mindset' is actively taught and consistently promoted in class. Increase in staffing specifically for SEMH to support students across the school. Mental wellbeing as specific lessons in school using the Zones of Regulation approach. Well-being checks and Boxhall profiles identify pupils in need of extra support and extra support in place to support. Parents have engaged with outside agency support such as Early Help, Dandelion Time and Educational Psychology courses provided online. 	Continued approaches to support SEL are required for long term success. The consistent nurture activities and approaches have delivered good academic progress for pupils and improved engagement in class and learning in general. A consistent nurture approach will be further developed in school with the use of Magnolia Room for identified pupils who find it difficult to engage in the curriculum.

To target	Specific interventions to support	
behaviour	needs of pupils in this group such as	
interventions.	those that promote resilience e.g	
	.ELSA, counselling or nurture provision.	
	Monitoring and encouragement of	
	pupils who attract PP funding to have	
	equal opportunities to take on	
	positive roles of responsibility	
	PP pupils that are positive role	
	models have been identified to work	
	collaboratively with other pupils	
	TA's and teachers have monitored	
	and encouraged the completion of	
	reading at home that is targeted at	
	the correct level of ability.	
	Positive relationships have been	
	fostered between parents and staff.	
	Academic progress of pupil's that are	
	attending SEL interventions has been	
	tracked and some are making	
	accelerated progress.	
	Attendance of pupils while accessing	
	SEL interventions and support has	
	been monitored.	
	Access to opportunities to attend	
	workshops and events aimed at	
	supporting greater depth attainment	
	in both core and foundation subjects	
	has been limited due to covid	
	restrictions.	
	Behaviour of targeted pupils has	
	been measured and the impact of	
	interventions aimed at improving	
	behaviour.	
	Academic progress of targeted pupils	
	aimed at improving speech and	
	language has been measured and	
	some are making accelerated	
	progress.	
	The use of nurture provision such as	
	The use of nurture provision such as ELSA and in-house nurture activities	

	has been monitored and academic progress has increased.	
--	---	--

Externally provided programmes

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in England

Programme	Provider
NHS Speech & Language Buy-In service	NHS
Counselling.	Fegans.
Play Therapy	PTUK